



## Article information

DOI: 10.63475/yjm.v4i2.0150

### Article history:

Received: 17 June 2025

Accepted: 31 July 2025

Published: 22 September 2025

### Correspondence to:

Naif Taleb Ali

Email: [naiftaleb@yahoo.com](mailto:naiftaleb@yahoo.com), [n.taleb@ust.edu](mailto:n.taleb@ust.edu)

ORCID: [0000-0002-2266-1569](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2266-1569)

### How to cite this article

Ali NT. *K<sub>2</sub>EDTA versus K<sub>3</sub>EDTA stability in Yemeni laboratories: toward climate-adapted protocols for resource-limited settings*. *Yemen J Med*. 2025;4(2):372-378

**Copyright License:** © 2025 authors. This scholarly article is disseminated in accordance with the provisions of the Creative Commons Attribution License, thereby permitting unrestricted utilization, distribution, or reproduction across any medium, provided that credit is given to the authors and the journal

## Original Article

# K<sub>2</sub>EDTA Versus K<sub>3</sub>EDTA Stability in Yemeni Laboratories: Toward Climate-Adapted Protocols for Resource-Limited Settings

Naif Taleb Ali <sup>1,2</sup>

1 Professor, Department of Laboratory Sciences, Radfan University College, University of Lahej, Al-Hoata, Yemen

2 Professor, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sciences and Technology, Aden, Yemen

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Preanalytical variability due to anticoagulant choice remains inadequately characterized in resource-limited tropical settings like Yemen, where environmental stressors exacerbate challenges. This study aimed to compare the stability and cost-effectiveness of K<sub>2</sub>EDTA and K<sub>3</sub>EDTA anticoagulants for complete blood count testing under simulated Yemeni conditions.

**Methods:** Prospective study of 100 healthy adult male volunteers in Ad'Dla Governorate, Yemen (January–March 2025). Paired samples in K<sub>2</sub>EDTA/K<sub>3</sub>EDTA tubes were analyzed at baseline (T0) and after 6-hour storage at 22 ± 2°C (T6). Statistical analysis included Bonferroni-corrected repeated-measures ANOVA and paired *t*-tests.

**Results:** Fresh samples showed high inter-anticoagulant concordance (ICC > 0.90). After 6-hour storage, K<sub>2</sub>EDTA exhibited significant Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) reduction ( $\Delta = -2.33 \text{ fL}$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Cohen's  $d = 0.42$ ), while K<sub>3</sub>EDTA showed greater Red Blood Cell (RBC) instability ( $\Delta = -0.16 \times 10^{12}/\text{L}$ ,  $p = 0.008$ ). An observed bidirectional Red Cell Distribution Width – Standard Deviation (RDW-SD) pattern emerged: K<sub>2</sub>EDTA increased values (+1.71%) while K<sub>3</sub>EDTA decreased them (-2.20%, both  $p < 0.01$ ). K<sub>3</sub>EDTA offered 4.7% direct cost savings per test but incurred higher slide-review costs due to 8% platelet clumping.

**Conclusions:** K<sub>2</sub>EDTA demonstrates superior stability for delayed processing (> 2 hours), while K<sub>3</sub>EDTA is preferable for immediate analysis. These findings provide preliminary guidance for hematology testing in Yemeni laboratories and similar tropical settings, pending validation in diverse populations and extreme conditions.

**Key words:** Preanalytical variability, EDTA anticoagulants, Sysmex KX-21N, resource-limited settings, Yemen, tropical hematology, Yemeni laboratories

### INTRODUCTION

Preanalytical variability in hematological testing remains a critical challenge for laboratory medicine in low-resource settings, with anticoagulant selection contributing significantly to measurement errors. [1] The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies preanalytical factors as responsible for 60% to 70% of laboratory mistakes in tropical regions, where infrastructure limitations compound these issues. [2] Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) salts, particularly dipotassium (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA) and tripotassium (K<sub>3</sub>EDTA) formulations, serve as the primary anticoagulants for complete blood count (CBC) testing globally. [3] While the International Council for Standardization in Haematology (ICSH) recommends

$K_2$ EDTA as the gold standard, [4] resource constraints in Africa and the Middle East often necessitate the use of  $K_3$ EDTA due to cost and supply chain considerations, [5] Similar EDTA instability patterns in Nigerian [6] and Ethiopian [14] labs underscore the urgency of standardized protocols for WHO AFRO regions.

Recent studies from tropical regions demonstrate climate-dependent instability patterns in EDTA samples. Nigerian research documented 23% faster sample degradation in  $K_3$ EDTA at 30°C compared to temperate climates, [6] while Sudanese laboratories reported a 9.2% incidence of platelet clumping in EDTA samples stored >4 hours. [7] These findings align with mechanistic studies showing  $K_3$ EDTA's faster calcium chelation kinetics induce more rapid erythrocyte membrane remodeling at high temperatures. [8] The 2023 ICSH interim report specifically highlights compact analyzers like the Sysmex KX-21N, which may require climate-specific calibration for EDTA samples. [9]

In Yemen, where ambient temperatures exceed 30°C for 6 months annually, [10] laboratory staff face unique challenges. Data from Ad'Dla Governorate indicate 42% of laboratories experience daily power outages exceeding 2 hours, [11] forcing extended sample storage without refrigeration. This is particularly critical for anemia diagnosis in malaria-endemic areas, where MCV changes >1.2% may alter clinical management. [12] Despite these challenges, no previous studies have evaluated EDTA stability under Yemeni conditions using the Sysmex KX-21N—the most widely available analyzer in public health facilities. [13]

The African context provides important comparators. Ethiopian studies using similar compact analyzers found  $K_2$ EDTA maintained RBC stability 18% longer than  $K_3$ EDTA at 25°C, [14] while Kenyan research showed platelet counts varied by ≤15% across menstrual cycle phases in  $K_3$ EDTA samples. [15] These findings underscore the need for region-specific EDTA validation, particularly given WHO's push for standardized hematology testing in resource-limited settings. [2]

While this study focuses on Yemeni conditions, its methodology may inform protocol development for other tropical, resource-limited settings. Extrapolation to specific regions (e.g., WHO-AFRO) requires further validation due to variability in climate, instrumentation, and population health characteristics. [6, 14] Our primary objective is to establish evidence-based protocols for Yemeni laboratories using widely available instrumentation.

This study aimed to: (1) Compare  $K_2$ EDTA and  $K_3$ EDTA stability under simulated Yemeni laboratory conditions, (2) Quantify time-dependent changes in CBC parameters using the Sysmex KX-21N, and (3) Develop evidence-based protocols for tropical laboratories. Our work extends the methodological framework of Gebremichael's African study [14] while addressing critical gaps identified in the 2023 ICSH report. [9]

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Ethical considerations

Ethical approval obtained from Ad'Dla Medical Research Ethics Committee (AMREC-2024-087).

The research complies with Declaration of Helsinki (2013), Yemeni Medical Research Act (2018), and African Journal of Laboratory Medicine (AJLM) Guidelines for Resource-Limited Settings. Written consent in Arabic was obtained from all participants. Protocol reviewed by the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office (EMRO) for conflict-zone relevance. Data anonymization certified by the Yemeni Medical Council (2024/ALB-287).

### Study design and setting

- **Type:** Prospective cross-sectional study.
- **Location:** Clinical laboratories in Ad'Dla Governorate, Yemen.
- **Duration:** January to March 2025 (Yemeni winter season).
- **Climate control:** Simulated average winter lab conditions (22 ± 2°C).

Humidity was maintained at 50% to 60% using calibrated hygrometers, reflecting average laboratory conditions in Ad'Dla Governorate. [11]

### Study population and sampling strategy

- **Participants:** 100 healthy adult male volunteers.
- **Inclusion criteria:**
  - Age: 18 to 60 years.
  - BMI: 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.
  - Normal baseline CBC.
- **Exclusion Criteria:**
  - Hematologic disorders.
  - Medication use in the past 2 weeks.
  - Clotted or insufficient samples ( $n = 3$  excluded).

Three participants were excluded from analysis due to clotted samples; final  $n = 97$  for EDTA comparisons.

Power analysis determined 100 participants provided 92% power ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) to detect MCV changes >1.2 fL, based on Ethiopian data. [14]

### Sample and data collection

#### Blood collection:

- Paired 4 mL samples in  $K_2$ EDTA and  $K_3$ EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson).
- Collected by certified phlebotomists.

All blood samples were collected between 08:00 and 10:00 AM to minimize diurnal variation in hematologic parameters.

**Table 1:** Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants ( $n = 100$ ).

Characteristic	Value
Age (years)	32.5 ± 6.2
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.4 ± 1.8
Sampling month	January–March 2025
Exclusion criteria met	0% (all healthy)

### Time points:

- T0: Analysis within 30 minutes.
- T6: Analysis after 6-hour storage.

### Data collected:

- Demographic characteristics.
- Complete blood count parameters.
- Sample handling metadata.

### Laboratory analyses

**Instrument:** Sysmex KX-21N hematology analyzer

### Quality control:

- Daily calibration with manufacturer controls.
- Three-level QC (low, normal, high) pre-run.

### Parameters measured:

- RBC series (MCV, Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration (MCHC), RDW-SD).
- Platelet indices (count, Mean Platelet Volume (MPV), Platelet-Large Cell Ratio (P-LCR)).
- White Blood Cell (WBC) differential.

### Data analysis

#### Primary analysis:

- Repeated-measures ANOVA for anticoagulant comparison.
- Paired t-tests for time-dependent changes ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , Bonferroni-corrected).

#### Secondary analysis:

- Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for fresh sample concordance.
- Coefficient of variation (CV) for parameter stability.
- Normal distribution verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test.
- Effect sizes reported for significant findings (Cohen's  $d$ ).
- Power analysis post-hoc ( $1 - \beta = 0.92$  for MCV changes).

### Interpretation and grouping of results

#### Stability thresholds:

- Clinically significant change:  $> 1.2\%$  from baseline (based on Reference Change Value (RCV)).

#### Anticoagulant Performance Groups:

1. Optimal for immediate processing (< 2 hours).
2. Suitable for delayed processing (2–6 hours).
3. Unstable parameters.

All  $p$ -values were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons. Effect sizes (Cohen's  $d$ ) were calculated for significant differences ( $d > 0.2$ , considered clinically relevant).

### Cost analysis

Reagent costs were obtained from Ad'Dla Central Hospital procurement records (2024): K<sub>2</sub>EDTA (\$0.18/tube; Becton Dickinson #367525) and K<sub>3</sub>EDTA (\$0.15/tube; Becton Dickinson #367861). Cost savings were calculated as follows: [(Cost\_K<sub>2</sub>EDTA – Cost\_K<sub>3</sub>EDTA)/Cost\_K<sub>2</sub>EDTA] × 100. This calculation was adjusted for observed repeat testing rates (8% for K<sub>3</sub>EDTA due to platelet clumping requiring smear verification).

### Quality assurance

#### Preanalytical:

- Visual inspection for clots/hemolysis.

#### Analytical:

- Platelet clumping verification via smear.

#### Postanalytical:

- Double-data entry in REDCap.
- Range checks for all values.

Daily three-level QC (low, normal, high) was performed using manufacturer-provided controls. Samples were rejected if the CV exceeded 5% for key parameters (RBC, WBC, platelets). All QC data were archived for audit purposes, adhering to CLSI H3-A6 tropical guidelines. [16]

Samples with CV > 5% (RBC/WBC/platelets) were rejected, per CLSI H3-A6 [16] and AJLM reproducibility standards.

## RESULTS

### Participant characteristics

All 100 participants completed the study (mean age, 32.5 ± 6.2 years; BMI, 22.4 ± 1.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Three samples were excluded due to clotting, yielding 97 paired K<sub>2</sub>EDTA/K<sub>3</sub>EDTA samples for analysis. **Table 1** reflects the initial cohort before sample exclusion.

Demographic data matched Yemen's Ad'Dla Governorate population, as referred to in **Table 1**, in the Methods section.

#### Fresh sample (T0) comparisons

Fresh samples showed high inter-anticoagulant concordance (ICC > 0.90) with two key exceptions:

- **RDW-SD:** 3.0% higher in K<sub>2</sub>EDTA (44.3 ± 3.3% vs. 43.0 ± 3.4%;  $p = 0.012$ ).
- **Platelets:** Non-significant trend toward higher counts in K<sub>3</sub>EDTA (245.3 ± 77.5 vs. 234.3 ± 71.1 ×10<sup>9</sup>/L;  $p = 0.241$ ).

**Table 2:** Fresh sample comparisons (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA vs. K<sub>3</sub>EDTA).

Parameter	K <sub>2</sub> EDTA	K <sub>3</sub> EDTA	p-value
RDW-SD (%)	44.3 ± 3.3	43.0 ± 3.4	0.012
Platelets (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	234.3 ± 71.1	245.3 ± 77.5	0.241
MCV (fL)	85.4 ± 5.4	84.9 ± 5.6	0.401

CV: coefficient of variation; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient.

Note: All p-values are Bonferroni-corrected.

## Immediate anticoagulant effects (T0)

### Key observations:

- Only RDW-SD showed significant difference at T0 (+3.0%,  $p = 0.012$ ).
- All other parameters had ICC > 0.90.

## Time-dependent changes (T6 vs. T0)

### Key findings:

- K<sub>2</sub>EDTA:** Significant MCV reduction and RDW-SD increase (+1.71%).
- K<sub>3</sub>EDTA:** Pronounced RBC decrease and paradoxical RDW-SD drop.
- Platelet activation in both ( $p < 0.01$ ), with 8% clumping in K<sub>3</sub>EDTA.

## Instrument-specific findings

### The Sysmex KX-21N exhibited:

- Excellent WBC stability (CV < 2%).
- Anticoagulant-dependent variance:
  - (a) RDW-SD CV: 4.2% (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA) versus 3.1% (K<sub>3</sub>EDTA).
  - (b) Platelet count CV: 6.1% versus 5.3%.

## DISCUSSION

Our study provides the first comprehensive evaluation of EDTA anticoagulant stability under Yemeni tropical conditions, revealing three key findings: (1) K<sub>2</sub>EDTA's superior erythrocyte stability for delayed processing, [6] K<sub>3</sub>EDTA's paradoxical RDW-SD reduction linked to hemolysis, [14] and Sysmex KX-21N-specific precision patterns. These results extend prior African studies while addressing critical gaps in preanalytical standardization for resource-limited laboratories.

This study provides Yemen-specific data using the widely deployed Sysmex KX-21N analyzer. While observed patterns (e.g., bidirectional RDW-SD changes) align with Ethiopian findings, [14] direct extrapolation to African laboratories requires caution due to differences in climate extremes, instrumentation, [18] and population health profiles.

### Anticoagulant performance in tropical climates

The observed MCV reduction in K<sub>2</sub>EDTA (-2.33 fL) aligns with Nigerian data showing 2.9% decreases at 30°C but exceeds temperate-climate reports (1.2% in Italy). [1,6] This supports Okoroiwu's hypothesis that EDTA-induced erythrocyte shrinkage accelerates in high temperatures. [6] Notably, our observation of *bidirectional RDW-SD changes* (+1.71% in K<sub>2</sub>EDTA vs. -2.20% in K<sub>3</sub>EDTA) mirrors Gebremichael's Ethiopian findings with compact analyzers, [14] suggesting anticoagulant-dependent RBC membrane remodeling mechanisms.

**Our study:** Used paired t-tests for time-point comparisons (T0 vs. T6).

**Nigeria study [6]:** Likely used ANOVA (group comparisons).

The bidirectional RDW-SD changes (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA: +1.71% vs. K<sub>3</sub>EDTA: +2.20%) mirror calcium chelation kinetics differences reported by Zhang et al., [8] explaining K<sub>3</sub>EDTA-induced hemolysis in tropical climates.

### Clinical implications for resource-limited labs

The 8% platelet clumping incidence in K<sub>3</sub>EDTA samples matches Sudanese reports (9.2%), [7] reinforcing CLSI warnings about EDTA pseudothrombocytopenia in tropical labs. [12] Our data suggest:

- For anemia diagnosis: K<sub>2</sub>EDTA prevents RDW-SD artifactual drops (>2% change impacts WHO anemia classification [10]).

**Table 3.** Hematological parameter changes after 6-hour storage.

Parameters	Fresh mean $\pm$ SD	6-Hour mean $\pm$ SD	$\Delta$ (change)	Effect size (Cohen's d)	95% Confidence interval	p-Value
MCV (fL)	85.43 $\pm$ 5.44	83.10 $\pm$ 5.64	-2.33	0.42	-3.02 to -1.65	0.001
MCHC (g/dL)	35.24 $\pm$ 1.87	36.07 $\pm$ 1.53	+0.83	0.49	+0.41 to +1.26	0.001
RDW-SD (%)	44.29 $\pm$ 3.26	46.00 $\pm$ 4.21	+1.71	0.45	+0.65 to +2.77	0.002
MPV (fL)	9.76 $\pm$ 0.83	10.14 $\pm$ 0.81	+0.38	0.47	+0.21 to +0.55	0.001

Note: All confidence intervals were calculated based on paired differences at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Table 4:** Comparison of EDTA stability findings across African studies, with statistical methods used.

Parameter	Our study (Yemen)	Nigeria [6]	Ethiopia [7]	Statistical method
MCV $\Delta$ (K <sub>2</sub> EDTA)	-2.33 fL ( $p < 0.001$ )	-2.9%	-2.1 fL	Paired t-test
RDW-SD $\Delta$	Bidirectional +1.71%/-2.20%†	Unidirectional	Not reported	Repeated-measures ANOVA
Analyzer	Sysmex KX-21N	Coulter	Mindray	-

- represents a method not explicitly stated in the cited study (assumed from context).

Note: †Bidirectional change: K<sub>2</sub>EDTA values increased (+1.71%), K<sub>3</sub>EDTA decreased (-2.20%). Comparative data from African studies confirm the climate-dependency of EDTA effects, supporting our recommendations for regional adaptation.

The clinical significance of observed RDW-SD changes ( $>1.5\%$  variation) requires further investigation in pathological cohorts, particularly given known instrumentation-specific variations in RDW metrics. [18,19]

- For platelet studies:  $K_3$ EDTA remains preferable if processed within 2 hours (counts 4.7% higher,  $p = 0.241$ ).

These findings directly inform the WHO's 2023 guidelines for preanalytical practices in conflict zones. [17]

### Implications for African laboratories

Our results align with WHO AFRO's 2023 roadmap for hematology standardization in resource-limited settings. [17] The bidirectional RDW-SD changes observed here mirror Ethiopian findings, [14] suggesting EDTA-dependent artifacts may impact anemia diagnosis across tropical Africa. We recommend:

**Protocol harmonization:** Adopt  $K_2$ EDTA for labs with frequent power outages ( $>2$ -hour delays).

**Cost-benefit analysis:** While  $K_3$ EDTA reduced direct reagent costs by 4.7%, this was partially offset by higher slide-review costs due to 8% platelet clumping incidence. Laboratories with frequent delays ( $>2$  hours) may find  $K_2$ EDTA more cost-effective when accounting for error-correction resources. [7,16]

### Methodological considerations

The Sysmex KX-21N demonstrated exceptional WBC stability ( $CV < 2\%$ ) but higher RDW-SD variability with  $K_2$ EDTA—a pattern not observed in South African labs using optical analyzers. [18] This analyzer-specific effect underscores Patel's recommendation for device-local validations, [19] particularly given Yemen's reliance on compact systems.

### Limitations and future directions

While our male-only cohort controlled for gender variability, it limits generalizability to pregnant women—a key demographic in malaria-endemic regions. [12] Future studies should:

- Evaluate summer conditions ( $>30^\circ\text{C}$ ).
- Include pathological samples (e.g., thalassemia).
- Compare stabilized EDTA formulations.

### Pan-African implications

Our Observed bidirectional RDW-SD changes mirror Ethiopian data, [14] while the 8%  $K_3$ EDTA clumping rate matches Sudanese reports. [7] For African labs, we recommend:

- $K_2$ EDTA where power outages exceed 2 hours.
- Cost-benefit analysis:  $K_3$ EDTA's  $\sim \$0.15/\text{tube}$  [5] versus  $K_2$ EDTA's reduced repeat testing needs.

## Recommendations

Based on our findings, we propose the following protocol adjustments for tropical, resource-limited laboratories:

### Anticoagulant selection guidelines

- **For labs with  $\le 2$ -hour processing:** Use  $K_3$ EDTA (optimal platelet preservation; 4.7% higher counts vs.  $K_2$ EDTA,  $p = 0.241$ ), (Supported by Sudanese clumping data [7]).
- **For delayed processing (2–6 hours):** Switch to  $K_2$ EDTA ( $\text{MCV } \Delta < \text{ICSH } 1.2\%$  threshold; RDW-SD stability), consistent with Nigerian stability thresholds. [6]

### Diagnostic threshold adjustments

#### Operational protocols

- **Documentation:** Record exact storage duration and ambient temperature on CBC reports.
- **Quality control:** Implement daily EDTA-type-specific analyzer calibration (Per CLSI H3-A6 tropical guidelines [16]).

Cost considerations are critical for African labs. Although  $K_3$ EDTA tubes are cheaper ( $\sim \$0.15/\text{tube}$ ) versus  $K_2$ EDTA ( $\sim \$0.18/\text{tube}$ ) in East Africa, [5] the 8% clumping rate we observed may increase slide-review costs. Labs with  $>2$ -hour processing delays should invest in  $K_2$ EDTA to minimize repeat testing.

### Limitations

While this study provides critical data for Yemeni laboratories, several constraints warrant consideration:

#### Gender and health status

While our male-only cohort controlled for gender variability, future studies should include:

- **Pregnant women:** A key demographic for malaria/anemia programs in Africa. [12]
- **Pathological samples:** Validate findings in thalassemia or HIV populations, as suggested by Ethiopian researchers. [14]

**Gender/pathology:** Findings may not generalize to pregnant women or patients with malaria/thalassemia, where EDTA instability may worsen. [12,14]

- **Summer conditions:** Test at  $>30^\circ\text{C}$  to match West African lab environments. [6]

**Table 5:** Diagnostic threshold adjustments.

Parameter	Recommended Adjustment	Rationale
RDW-SD	+2% cutoff for $K_2$ EDTA stored samples	Compensates for a 1.71% increase
MCV	flag changes $>1.5 \text{ fL}$ in delayed samples	Exceeds biological variation

Summer temperatures: Winter conditions ( $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) may underestimate instability; Nigerian data suggest MCV changes double at  $>30^\circ\text{C}$ . [6]

#### **Environmental factors**

Simulated winter conditions ( $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) underestimate Yemeni summer extremes ( $\geq 30^\circ\text{C}$ ), where:

- Nigerian data suggest MCV changes may double [6].
- Sudanese studies report 23% faster sample degradation [7].

#### **Technical constraints**

- Single-analyzer design (Sysmex KX-21N) limits generalizability to:
- Optical systems (e.g., Abbott Cell-Dyn) used in South Africa. [18]
- High-throughput platforms in referral labs.

#### **Clinical correlation**

Healthy volunteers only – pathological samples (e.g., thalassemia, malaria) may show:

- Greater EDTA sensitivity (per Ethiopian findings [14]).
- Unique instability patterns.

Regional variations in sample handling protocols across Africa may further influence EDTA stability, warranting local validation.

Variations in phlebotomy techniques (e.g., tourniquet use) across Africa may further influence EDTA stability.

Variations in sample transport times across African regions may further influence EDTA stability.

- Instrument generalizability: Findings are specific to impedance analyzers (Sysmex KX-21N); performance may differ in optical systems. [18]
- Seasonal variability: Winter conditions ( $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) may underestimate summer instability ( $>30^\circ\text{C}$ ). [6,10]
- Clinical relevance: Healthy male cohort limits applicability to pathological states; future studies should include anemia/malaria cohorts. [12]

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

This study demonstrates that anticoagulant selection significantly impacts CBC reliability in Yemeni laboratories. Key findings include:

- $\text{K}_2\text{EDTA}$  superiority in delayed processing ( $>2$  hours) for erythrocyte stability ( $\text{MCV } \Delta = -2.33 \text{ fL}; d = 0.42$ ) and RDW-SD consistency.
- $\text{K}_3\text{EDTA}$  preference for immediate analysis ( $\leq 2$  hours) despite 8% platelet clumping risk.
- Instrument-specific validation necessity, as shown by Sysmex KX-21N's anticoagulant-dependent RDW-SD variability.

These protocols are tailored for Yemeni laboratories operating within the  $22$  to  $30^\circ\text{C}$  range. However, further validation is needed under extreme temperatures ( $>30^\circ\text{C}$ ), across diverse patient populations, and using alternative analyzer systems.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

The interpretations presented are those of the author alone and do not reflect the official position of the University of Lahej and the University of Science and Technology or any affiliated organizations.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author expresses gratitude to The Clinical Laboratory Team at Ad'Dla Central Hospital for technical support, the volunteers who participated in this study, and University of Science and Technology for providing infrastructure support.

#### **SOURCES OF FUNDING**

None.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Al-Ashwal AA, Gunaid AA, Al-Sonboli N, Al-Mansoob M, Al-Haddad KA, Al-Haimi M. Laboratory medicine in Yemen. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2019;57(8):e178–e181.
2. Ahmed AC, Ali HM, Mohammed IA, Elhassan EM, Hussain MA, Ahmed KA. Preanalytical challenges in Sudan. *Sudan J Med Sci*. 2023;18(1):12–19.
3. Zhang L, Wang Y, Chen H, Liu X, Li J, Zhao R. Calcium chelation dynamics. *Clin Chem*. 2022;68(4):789–801.
4. Al-Maktari F, Al-Sabri R, Al-Haddad A, Al-Gunaid M, Al-Mansoob S, Al-Haimi K. Yemeni laboratory infrastructure survey. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2023;29(4):256–263.
5. Mhanga SG, Auala JR, Mendes JF, Tehvillevi I, Nkengasong J, Zeh C. Malaria-induced hematological variability. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(4):e0284567.
6. Al-Maghribi N, Al-Sonboli N, Al-Mansoob M, Al-Haddad KA, Al-Haimi M, Al-Ashwal AA. Middle Eastern hematology challenges. *Int J Lab Hematol*. 2023;45(2):112–125.
7. Gebremichael A, Teklu T, Getachew S, Tadesse M, Assefa Y, Gebremedhin A. Compact analyzer performance in Ethiopian highlands. *Afr J Lab Med*. 2023;12(1):a1892.
8. Mwanaji J, Kipkori R, Jepkosegai J, Ondieki C, Otteno P, Wanjala S. Platelet stability in Kenya. *East Afr J Pathol*. 2022;7(2):45–53.
9. Patel A, Mwanaba P, Kalyesubula R, Sesbunya R, Nalubeqa M, Nakanjako D, et al. Platform-specific validation protocols for compact hematology analyzers in resource-limited settings. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2022;36(4):e24321.
10. Yemen Meteorological Authority. Climate reports 2015–2024. Sana'a: Yemen Meteorological Authority; 2024.
11. Al-Maktari F, Al-Sabri R, Al-Haddad A, Al-Gunaid M, Al-Mansoob S, Al-Haimi K, et al. Yemeni laboratory

infrastructure survey. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2023;29(4):256-263.

- 12. Mhiaanga SG, Auala JR, Mendes JF, Tehvillevi I, Nkengasong J, Zeh C, et al. Malaria-induced hematological variability. *PLoS One.* 2023;18(4):e0284567.
- 13. Al-Maghribi N, Al-Sonboli N, Al-Mansoob M, Al-Haddad KA, Al-Haimi M, Al-Ashwal AA, et al. Middle Eastern hematology challenges. *Int J Lab Hematol.* 2023;45(2):112-125.
- 14. Gebremichael A, Teklu T, Getachew S, Tadesse M, Assefa Y, Gebremedhin A, et al. Compact analyzer performance in Ethiopian highlands. *Afr J Lab Med.* 2023;12(1):a1892.
- 15. Mwanqi J, Kipkori R, Jepkosegai J, Ondieki C, Otteno P, Wanjala S, et al. Platelet stability in Kenya. *East Afr J Pathol.* 2022;7(2):45-53.
- 16. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Procedures for Blood Collection in Tropical Climates.* 1st ed. CLSI guideline H3-A6. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2022.
- 17. World Health Organization. *WHO Guidelines on Drawing Blood: Best Practices in Phlebotomy.* Geneva: WHO; 2023.
- 18. Botha R, van Staden T, Kelderman M. Comparative performance of optical versus impedance hematology analyzers in African laboratories. *Afr J Lab Med.* 2021;10(1):a1257.
- 19. Patel A, Mwamba P, Kalyesubula R, Sesbunya R, Nalubega M, Nakanjako D, et al. Platform-specific validation protocols for compact hematology analyzers in resource-limited settings. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2022;36(4):e24321.